

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | I      | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|--------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/702,290              |        | 11/06/2003     | Dan Tyroler          | H0005391 (16881)        | 8063             |
| 128                     | 7590   | 03/29/2005     |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|                         |        | TERNATIONAL IN | LAI, ANNE VIET NGA   |                         |                  |
| 101 COLUN<br>P O BOX 22 |        | AD             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| MORRISTO                | WN, NJ | 07962-2245     | 2636                 |                         |                  |
|                         |        |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|   |  | 10/702,290  | TYROLER, DAN   |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |
|   |  | Anne V. Lai   | 2636   |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | B.  |  |  |  |
| A SH THE   - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any re   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti<br>y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da<br>vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror<br>, cause the application to become ABANDON | imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. & 133). |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E  | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pr   |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims   |   |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□   | Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | vn from consideration.  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |   |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠  | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objectod<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se<br>ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol  | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |  |   |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notice<br>3) 🔯 Inforn  | (s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date   | 4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:  |  |  |  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Engellenner [US. 5,786,764].

Regarding claims 21, **Engellenner** (abstract; coded transponder 26 A; fig. 9) discloses an electronic tag for use with an object locator feature of a security system, comprising:

a memory 95 for storing an identifier;

a receiver 91 for receiving a wireless signal encoded with an identifier that was received by a control of the security system from a memory of the security system (118; fig. 12);

a control for comparing (comparator 95) the stored identifier to the received identifier; and

a sounder responsive to the control for emitting an audible sound when the stored identifier matches the received identifier (col. 4, line 32).

Regarding claims 22, **Engellenner** discloses the identifier is retrieved in response to a user input component of the security system (112-118; fig. 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/702,290

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claims 23, **Engellenner** discloses the identifier is retrieved in response to a polling schedule of the security system (col. 2, line 61 through col. 3, line 8).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-21 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Engellenner** in view of **Crabtree et al** [US. 6,788,199]

Regarding claims 1-5, **Engellenner** discloses a security system with an object locator (abstract), comprising:

a user interface device including a user output (display; col. 6, line 1) and a user input (keypad; col. 5, line 67);

a memory associated with the user interface for storing identifiers for electronic tags (118, fig. 12);

wherein the output displays the identifier entered by the user via the input for select confirmation (114, 116, fig. 12);

a control responsive to the user input component for retrieving from the memory, the identifier for the electronic tag associated with the selected object (118, fig. 12); and

Art Unit: 2636

a transmitter responsive to the control for transmitting a wireless signal encoded with the retrieved identifier (120, fig. 12; col. 7, lines 41-65) to at least one security component in the security system.

Engellenner does not disclose specifically the storing of descriptive information for the plurality of objects and output the descriptive information to the user for selection of a particular object to be searched. Crabtree et al teach a security system (col. 14, lines 25-67) with an article locator (col. 15, lines 15-60) comprising a user interface device storing and displaying the descriptive information to enable the user to select at least one of the plurality of objects via user input component (fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the teaching of Crabtree et al to Engellenner interface device for the convenient of the user not to type in the complicated search code identifier.

Regarding claims 6-8, **Crabtree et al** (col. 15, lines 15-60) teach the user input for storing in memory string of characters and numbers representing the tags identifiers and editing via user input descriptive information for the plurality of objects.

Regarding claims 9-10, **Engellenner** discloses inanimate objects. **Crabtree et al** teach both inanimate objects and living beings (abstract).

Regarding claims 11-15, the combined **Engellenner** and **Crabtree et al** disclose a method for operating the system of claims 1-10 above (abstracts).

Regarding claims 16-21, **Engellenner** discloses the system having controller, computer and software program to perform the method of claims 11-15 (col. 7, lines 41-

Art Unit: 2636

65; col. 14, lines 26-56). **Crabtree et al** teach the system having automated functions (col. 2, line 51 through col. 3, line 24) therefore program storage is inherent.

Regarding claims 25-26, **Engellenner** discloses a security system having an object scheduled polling feature that can be set via user interface device (col. 2, line 61 through col. 3, line 8; col. 5, line 46 through col. 6, line 8).

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Maynard discloses an RFID tagging system for network assets. [US. 5,949,335]

Ulrich et al disclose a personnel and asset tracking method and apparatus. [US. 6,825,763]

Stilp discloses a RFID security network. [US. 2004/0212500]

Pucci et al disclose a radio frequency object locator system. [US. 2004/0217859]

Lea et al disclose a location communication and tracking systems. [US.

2004/0169589]

**Trimble et al** disclose an object locator system employing RF signaling. [US. 2002/0126010]

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne V. Lai whose telephone number is 571-272-2974. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:30 pm, Monday to Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,290

Art Unit: 2636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hofsass Jeffery can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MU

A. V. Lai March 18, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

3/21/03

Page 6